UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/790,082 | 03/02/2004 | Takeo Yoshida | 118918 | 2490 |
| 25944 OLIFF & BERI | 7590 08/25/200 RIDGE, PLC | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 320850 | | | LOUIE, OSCAR A | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2136 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/25/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/790,082 | YOSHIDA, TAKEO Art Unit | ΞO | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | OSCAR A. LOUIE | 2136 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | |
| (1) <u>OSCAR A. LOUIE</u> . | (3) | | | | |
| (2) <u>K. Werking</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 21 August 2008. | | | | | |
| Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | r)⊠ applicant's representative | e] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3,5-7 and 9-13</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lander (US-7350229-B1)</u> . | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the ap and the art of record. The examiner noted that additional sperformed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no contain allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CONTAINS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBST | plicant's representative discussearch and consideration of the ments which the examiner agroup of the amendments that will.) CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, VERVIEW SUMMARY SUMMARY SUMMARY FORM, VERVIEW SUMMARY SUMARY SUMMARY SUMMARY SUMMARY SUMMARY SUMMARY SUMMARY SUMMARY | reed would render the SUBSTANCE (been filed, APP ODAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS | mendments ould be er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO | | |
| /O. A. L./ Examiner Art Unit 2136 | | | | | |